

Co-author Statement

To be attached to application for thesis evaluation at the Faculty of Science and Technology

The statement shall describe the candidate's and co-author's independent research contributions in the thesis' publications. For each publication there should exist a complete statement that is to be filled out (in capital letters if handwritten) and signed by the candidate and co-author(s).

This statement should be signed by all persons, including supervisors, who can be defined as co-authors in connection with the Vancouver regulations' definition of authorship. If the research environment has developed guidelines that are more rigorous than the Vancouver regulations, please consider these.

The Co-author Statement refers to Guidelines for Research Ethics in Science and Technology, June 2016, chapter 5. https://www.etikkom.no/globalassets/documents/english-publications/60126_fek_guidelines_nent_digital.pdf

The Statement concerns the following publication:

Candidate: □ first author □ shared first-authorship □ second author □ other, please specify	
Candidate's contribution:	
Researchers must observe good publication practice. They must clarify individual res well as the rules for co-authorship. Honorary authorship is unacceptable. When sever authorship must be justified. Justified authorship is defined by four criteria (a-d):	
a) Researchers must have made a substantial contribution to:	
i. the conception and design or	\square no \square yes
ii. the data acquisition or	\square no \square yes
iii. the data analysis and interpretation; and	\Box no \Box yes
b) researchers must have contributed to	
i. drafting the manuscript or	\square no \square yes
ii. critical revision of the intellectual content of the publication; and	\Box no \Box yes
c) researchers must have approved the final version before publication; and	\square no \square yes
d) researchers must be able to accept responsibility for and be accountable for the as a whole (albeit not necessarily all technical details) unless otherwise specified	
All authors in a multidisciplinary publication must be able to account for the part or presponsible in the research work, and which part or parts are the responsibility of other All those who meet criterion a) must be able to meet b) and c). Contributors who do not acknowledged.	er contributors.
Please tick off items that you have contributed to.	
To your knowledge, has this publication been used in the evaluation of another docto	rate project? \Box no \Box yes
Place, date Ca	ndidate's signature

Co-author : □ first author □ shared first-authorship □ second author □ other	er, please specify	
Co-author's independent contribution:		
Researchers must observe good publication practice. They must well as the rules for co-authorship. Honorary authorship is unacc authorship must be justified. Justified authorship is defined by for a) Researchers must have made a substantial contribution to i. the conception and design or ii. the data acquisition or	eptable. When several authors contribute, each ur criteria (a-d):	
iii. the data analysis and interpretation; and	\square no \square yes	
 b) researchers must have contributed to drafting the manuscript or critical revision of the intellectual content of the publicat 	tion; no gyes	
c) researchers must have approved the final version before p and	ublication; \Box no \Box yes	
d) researchers must be able to accept responsibility for and b as a whole (albeit not necessarily all technical details) unless		
All authors in a multidisciplinary publication must be able to acc responsible in the research work, and which part or parts are the All those who meet criterion a) must be able to meet b) and c). C acknowledged.	responsibility of other contributors.	
Please tick off items that you have contributed to.		
To your knowledge, has this publication been used in the evaluat \Box no \Box yes	ion of another doctorate project?	
Place, date	Co-author's signature	

Co-author :		
Co-author's independent contribution:		
Researchers must observe good publication practice. They must clarify individual well as the rules for co-authorship. Honorary authorship is unacceptable. When se authorship must be justified. Justified authorship is defined by four criteria (a-d): a) Researchers must have made a substantial contribution to: iv. the conception and design or v. the data acquisition or vi. the data analysis and interpretation; and		
 b) researchers must have contributed to iii. drafting the manuscript or iv. critical revision of the intellectual content of the publication; and 	\square no \square yes \square no \square yes	
c) researchers must have approved the final version before publication; and	\square no \square yes	
d) researchers must be able to accept responsibility for and be accountable for the work as a whole (albeit not necessarily all technical details) unless otherwise specified.		
All authors in a multidisciplinary publication must be able to account for the part or parts for which they have been responsible in the research work, and which part or parts are the responsibility of other contributors. All those who meet criterion a) must be able to meet b) and c). Contributors who do not fulfil all the criteria must be acknowledged.		
Please tick off items that you have contributed to.		
To your knowledge, has this publication been used in the evaluation of another doctorate project? \Box no \Box yes		
Place, date	Co-author's signature	

Co-author :	 y
Co-author's independent contribution:	
Researchers must observe good publication practice. They must clarify individua well as the rules for co-authorship. Honorary authorship is unacceptable. When s authorship must be justified. Justified authorship is defined by four criteria (a-d): a) Researchers must have made a substantial contribution to: vii. the conception and design or	everal authors contribute, each
viii. the data acquisition orix. the data analysis and interpretation;	\square no \square yes \square no \square yes
and	j ••
 b) researchers must have contributed to v. drafting the manuscript or vi. critical revision of the intellectual content of the publication; and 	□ no □ yes □ no □ yes
c) researchers must have approved the final version before publication; and	🗆 no 🗆 yes
d) researchers must be able to accept responsibility for and be accountable f as a whole (albeit not necessarily all technical details) unless otherwise spec	
All authors in a multidisciplinary publication must be able to account for the part responsible in the research work, and which part or parts are the responsibility of All those who meet criterion a) must be able to meet b) and c). Contributors who acknowledged.	other contributors.
Please tick off items that you have contributed to.	
To your knowledge, has this publication been used in the evaluation of another d \square no $\ \square$ yes	octorate project?
Place, date	Co-author's signature